UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,889	04/10/2001	Toshio Yagihashi	Q63958	7824
SUCHDUE M	7590 04/19/2007	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
<u>.</u>				·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MC	NITHE	04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/828,889	YAGIHASHI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	·	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Do	ecember 2005.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	, _							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
	,							
	on Papers		·					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		-					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• \ /						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.					
		•						
Attachment	(e)		•					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Intensio	w Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· <u>—</u>	of Informal Patent Application					
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	·					

Application/Control Number: 09/828,889

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed December 14,
 Claims 1-27 are currently pending in this case.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, and 18-24, drawn to a system and method for network-based including a database design server, a designer terminal and an account terminal for making payments, classified in class 705, subclass 53.
 - II. Claims 13-17 and 25-27, drawn to a network-based design service system comprising a design database server and designer terminal, classified in class 700, subclass 107.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because there is no requirement for payment to made and received. The subcombination has separate utility such as that of making a payment from a bank account for the use of the database.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,889

rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Art Unit: 3621

5. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

Page 3

6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting

- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

9. A telephone call was made to Laura Moskowitz on or about April 12, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/828,889

Art Unit: 3621

Page 5

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cristina Owen Sherr

Patent Examiner, AU 3621

ANDREW J. FISCHER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600